

## Managing innovation (SBB minor 2019-2020)

LECTURER: Taghi Zadeh

### Course material

Literature of the course, consist of academic articles, is accessible through the Leiden University Library, Google Scholar, and respective publishers websites.

#### Literature of session 1—Tue. 12 November 2019—Introduction and Creativity 1 (Biases)

**Salter, A., Wal, A. L. J., Criscuolo, P. and Alexy, O. (2014)** ‘Open for Ideation: Individual-Level Openness and Idea Generation in R&D’, *Journal of Product Innovation Management*. Wiley Online Library.

**Sanchez-Burks, J., Karlesky, M. J. and Lee, F. (2015)** ‘Psychological bricolage: Integrating social identities to produce creative solutions’, in Shalley, C. E., Hitt, M. A., and Jing, Z. (eds) *The Oxford handbook of creativity, innovation, and entrepreneurship*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 93–102.

Optional: **Mueller, J. S., Melwani, S. and Goncalo, J. A. (2012)** ‘The bias against creativity: Why people desire but reject creative ideas’, *Psychological Science*, 23, pp. 13–17. doi: 10.1177/0956797611421018.

Optional: **Liedtka, J. (2015)** ‘Perspective: Linking Design Thinking with Innovation Outcomes through Cognitive Bias Reduction’, *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 32, pp. 925–938. doi: 10.1111/jpim.12163.

#### Literature of session 2—Fri. 15 November 2019—Creativity 2 (Structured techniques)

**Goldenberg, J., Mazursky, D. and Solomon, S. (2006)** ‘Toward Identifying the Inventive Templates of New Products: A Channeled Ideation Approach’, *Journal of Marketing Research*, 36, p. 200. doi: 10.2307/3152093.

**Dyer, J. H., Gregersen, H. B. and Christensen, C. M. (2009)** ‘The innovator’s DNA’, *Harvard business review*, 87 (12), 61-67.

Optional: **Goldenberg, J. and Boyd, D. (2013)** ‘Think Inside The Box – Forget brainstorming: People are at their most innovative when they work within the constraints of what they already know’, *Wall Street Journal*. Eastern ed, 15 June.

Optional: **Fiet, J. O. (2007)** ‘A prescriptive analysis of search and discovery’, *Journal of Management Studies*, 44, pp. 592–611. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6486.2006.00671.x.

#### Literature of session 3—Tue. 19 November 2019—Creativity 3 (Motivation)

**Sauermann, H. and Cohen, W. M. (2010)** ‘What makes them tick? Employee motives and firm innovation’, *Management Science*, 56, pp. 2134–2153. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.1100.1241.

**Lerner, J. and Wulf, J. (2007)** ‘Innovation and Incentives: Evidence from Corporate R&D’, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 89, pp. 634–644. doi: 10.1162/rest.89.4.634.

**Friedman, R. S. and Förster, J. (2001)** ‘The effects of promotion and prevention cues on creativity.’, *Journal of personality and social psychology*. American Psychological Association, 81, p. 1001.

Optional: **Wallace, J. C., Butts, M. M., Johnson, P. D., Stevens, F. G. and Smith, M. B. (2016)** ‘A Multilevel Model of Employee Innovation: Understanding the Effects of Regulatory Focus, Thriving, and Employee Involvement Climate’, *Journal of Management*, 42, pp. 982–1004. doi: 10.1177/0149206313506462.

### Literature of session 4—Fri. 22 November 2019—Creativity 4 (Climate)

**Amabile, T. M., Conti, R., Coon, H., Lazenby, J. and Herron, M. (1996)** ‘Assessing the work environment for creativity’, *Academy of management journal*. *Academy of Management*, 39, pp. 1154–1184.

**Chen, G., Farh, J.-L., Campbell-Bush, E. M., Wu, Z. and Wu, X. (2013)** ‘Teams as innovative systems: Multilevel motivational antecedents of innovation in R&D teams.’, *Journal of Applied Psychology*. *American Psychological Association*, 98, p. 1018.

Optional: **Shalley, C. E. and Gilson, L. L. (2004)** ‘What leaders need to know: A review of social and contextual factors that can foster or hinder creativity’, *Leadership Quarterly*, 15, pp. 33–53. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.12.004.

### Literature of session 5—Tue. 26 November 2019—The mechanisms of innovation

**Garud, R., Gehman, J. and Giuliani, A. P. (2018)** ‘Serendipity Arrangements for Exapting Science-Based Innovations’, *Academy of Management Perspectives*. *Academy of Management*, 32, pp. 125–140. doi: 10.5465/amp.2016.0138.

**Cohen, S. L. and Tripsas, M. (2018)** ‘Managing Technological Transitions by Building Bridges’, *Academy of Management Journal*. *Academy of Management*, 61, pp. 2319–2342. doi: 10.5465/amj.2015.0690.

Optional: **Arnold, F. H. (2019)** ‘Innovation by Evolution: Bringing New Chemistry to Life (Nobel Lecture)’, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 57, pp. 4143–4148. doi: 10.1002/anie.201907729.

### Literature of session 6—Tue. 3 December 2019—The content and impact of innovation

**Henderson, R. M. and Clark, K. B. (1990)** ‘Architectural Innovation: The Reconfiguration of Existing Product Technologies and the Failure of Established Firms’, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35, p. 9. doi: 10.2307/2393549.

**Bower, J. L. and Christensen, C. M. (1995)** ‘Disruptive technologies: catching the wave’, *Harvard business review*, pp. 43–54.

Optional: **Birkinshaw, J. m., Hamel, G. and Mol, M. J. (2008)** ‘Management innovation’, *The Academy of Management Review*, 33, pp. 825–845.

### Literature of session 7—Mon. 9 December 2019—New Product Development

**Zirger, B. J. and Maidique, M. A. (1990)** ‘A Model of New Product Development: An Empirical Test’, *Management Science*. *The Institute of Management Sciences*, 36, p. 867.

**Brown, T. (2008)** ‘Design thinking’, *Harvard business review*, 86, p. 84.

### Literature of session 8—Tue. 10 December 2019—Open, and user-driven, and crowdsourcing innovation

**Huizingh, E. K. R. E. (2011)** ‘Open innovation: State of the art and future perspectives’, *Technovation*. *Elsevier*, 31, pp. 2–9. doi: 10.1016/j.technovation.2010.10.002. Open innovation

**Boudreau, K. J. and Lakhani, K. R. (2013)** ‘Using the Crowd as an Innovation Partner.’, *Harvard Business Review*. *Harvard Business School Publication Corp.*, 91, pp. 60–69.

von Hippel, E. A. (2005) *Democratizing Innovation*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Chapter 1 & 2

### Literature of session 9—Fri. 13 December 2019—Innovation portfolio management

Rietzschel, E. F., Nijstad, B. A. and Stroebe, W. (2010) 'The selection of creative ideas after individual idea generation: Choosing between creativity and impact', *British Journal of Psychology*, 101, pp. 47–68. doi: 10.1348/000712609X414204.

Kock, A., Heising, W. and Gemünden, H. G. (2015) 'How Ideation Portfolio Management Influences Front-End Success', *Journal of Product Innovation Management*. Wiley Online Library, 32, pp. 539–555. doi: 10.1111/jpim.12217.

MacMillan, I. C. and McGrath, R. G. (2002) 'Crafting R&D Project Portfolios', *Research Technology Management*, 45, pp. 48–59.

Optional: Ross, J. M., Fisch, J. H. and Varga, E. (2018) 'Unlocking the value of real options: How firm-specific learning conditions affect R&D investments under uncertainty', *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 12, pp. 335–353. doi: 10.1002/sej.1275.

Optional: Gunther McGrath, R. and Nerkar, A. (2004) 'Real options reasoning and a new look at the R&D investment strategies of pharmaceutical firms', *Strategic Management Journal*, 25, pp. 1–21. doi: 10.1002/smj.358.

### Literature of session 10—Mon. 16 December 2019—Conclusion

Blank, S. and Newell, P. (2017) 'What your innovation process should look like', *Harvard Business Review*.

Optional: Foroughi, C. and Stern, A. D. (2019) Who drives digital innovation? Evidence from the U.S. medical device industry. 19–120. Boston, MA. Available at: [https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Publication Files/19-120\\_a1d7a285-f669-462f-a7bd-6643b73b1a7b.pdf](https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Publication%20Files/19-120_a1d7a285-f669-462f-a7bd-6643b73b1a7b.pdf).

Optional: Felin, T. and Zenger, T. R. (2017) 'The Theory-Based View: Economic Actors as Theorists', *Strategy Science*, 2, pp. 258–271. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3068134.

